



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,762	02/06/2002	Jeff Moler	VTE-120-A	6216

7590 12/29/2003

YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.  
Suite 624  
3001 West Big Beaver Road  
Troy, MI 48084-3107

EXAMINER

DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/067,762	MOLER, JEFF
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thomas M. Dougherty. (3) M. Knight.  
 (2) W. Hanlon. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant presented statement by Inventor defining differences between prior art and the invention..

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Uchikawa ('095).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hanlon and Ms. Knight noted that the invention has a rigidity such that motion loss is 40% or less in the invention. The Examiner recommended placing language indicating this in the independent claims, perhaps noting it in terms of the arm motion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required